Today’s Agenda

• Tentative ITWG Schedule (Alex Abshire)
• Survey Results (Alex)
• IGT Program News (Chris Beck/Wes Vincent)
• Performance Updates (Chris/Wes)
• G-Invoicing Program Guide (Brian Casto)
• Survey Questions (Alex)
Tentative ITWG Schedule

- September 11 – G-Invoicing Implementation
- October 23 – Performance/Settlement
- December 11 – Performance Rules / Program Guide

Planned Dates: Jan 8, Feb 12, Mar 12, Apr 9, May 14

Future Topics:
- Remittance Data
- System of Record
- Sensitive Agency Data

2-3 pm ET
Survey Results

• Agencies responded that they will need guidance on converting orders “in-progress” to G-Invoicing during onboarding

• A single Order may contain Lines w/ or w/o Assisted Acquisition
  – Y/N Indicator on header to control entire Order

• Incremental advances will be accommodated

• BETCs will be assigned by G-Invoicing
  – COLL for Servicing Agency
  – DISB for Requesting Agency
  – Adjustment BETCs will be used when applicable
Survey Results (cont.)

• BPN on Order has moved from Schedule to Header
  – BPN or ACC is required (no change)
    • AAC remains on the Order Schedule
  – BPN+4 remains on Order Schedule as optional

• Unit of Measurement (GINV) versus Unit of Issue (IPAC)
  – Mapping thousands of UOMs to hundreds of UOIs would leave gaps
  – No UOM/UOI conversion will be done
    • e.g., G-Invoicing will not convert 1 dozen to 12 each
  – Unit of Measurement in G-Invoicing will not be mapped to Unit of Issue in IPAC
  – Reconcile GINV and IPAC by comparing quantity, price and amount
  – Agencies should pull Remittance information from GINV where UOM and Description will be available
IGT Program News

• IPAC Release 18.2.3 implemented
  – IPAC now allows Intra-ALC trading to align with G-Invoicing; offers an electronic trail for Intra-ALC trading

• G-Invoicing Implementation Plans
  – TFM Bulletin 2018-10 requires all FPAs to submit implementation plans to Treasury May 2019
  – Fiscal Service is hosting a webinar to address agency implementation plan questions on December 13; Agencies can register for the session here
IGT Program News (Cont’d)

• G-Invoicing r2.2 Update
  – Available to FPAs March 4 2019
  – Webinars will be scheduled to provide an overview of changes and new functionality.
  – Webinars targeted to start early February 2019
  – User Guides, workshops, and training material will be updated and published to support end user training.

• Efforts continue to finalize performance specifications Jan 2019
Performance Transaction

• Performance initiates Settlement
  – FOB Point determines which partner controls movement of funds
  – FOB Point and Constructive Receipt Days are agreed to on Order Header
  – FOB Source: Seller controls with Delivered/Performed transaction
  – FOB Destination: Buyer controls with Received/Accepted
  – Adjustments are controlled the same way

• Partners exchange Performance transactions to communicate Accounting Entries
  – Seller’s Delivered/Performed transaction communicates Liquidation of Advance or completion of Deferred Billing
Four (4) Performance Types

1. **Advance**: Can be initiated by either partner
   - Adjustments to advances can also be triggered by either partner

2. **Deferred Payment** *(Seller only)*: Seller performance with no associated settlement; supports communication of accruals
   - Only allowed when Advance Payment Indicator is No

3. **Delivered/Performed** *(Seller only)*: Required in all cases
   - When Advance Payment Indicator is No:
     • For FOB Source this initiates settlement
     • For FOB Destination this starts the clock for Constructive Receipt Days (CRD)
   - Represents Liquidation when Advance Payment Indicator is Yes

4. **Received/Accepted** *(Buyer only)*: Acknowledgement
   - For FOB Destination the Buyer must respond to Seller’s Delivered/Performed
     • Constructive Receipt created if no response from Buyer
   - Optional for FOB Source and/or Advance Payment Indicator is Yes
Performance – FOB Source

- Delivered/Performed is required in every case (by Seller)
- Advance followed by Delivered/Performed for Liquidation
- Deferred Payment is followed by Delivered/Performed (to Collect on Work in Progress)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FOB Source</th>
<th>Performance Transactions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scenario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collect (pull)</td>
<td>Seller Delivered/Performed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred Billing</td>
<td>Seller Deferred Payment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustment</td>
<td>(-) Delivered/Performed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Advance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustment</td>
<td>Buyer or Seller (-) Advance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Performance – FOB Destination

- Delivered/Performed by Seller is followed by Received/Accepted by Buyer
  - Constructive Receipt enforced by G-Invoicing after ‘n’ days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Performance Transactions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Step 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advance</td>
<td>Buyer or Seller Advance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pay (push)</td>
<td>Seller Delivered/Performed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred Billing</td>
<td>Seller Deferred Payment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustment</td>
<td>Buyer (-) Received/Accepted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Advance</td>
<td>Buyer or Seller (-) Advance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Performance Next Steps

• Review survey results from ITWG
• Consult with Vendors and other key stakeholders
• Publish final Performance specifications on G-Invoicing website end of January 2019
Draft G-Invoicing Program Guide

- Fiscal Service is developing a G-Invoicing Program Guide to help bridge USSGL entries and IGT Buy/Sell activity brokered through G-Invoicing

- Presented to the USSGL Issues Resolution Committee (IRC) on December 4th 2018.

- For any questions, comments, or feedback please contact Brian Casto, Brian.Casto@Fiscal.Treasury.Gov
Survey Questions for Today

1. Please provide your agency name and (ideally) your contact info so we can address your concerns.

2. Does your agency have any known issues with the Performance Transaction as presented here today? If so, what are they?

3. What should be set as the maximum value for Constructive Receipt Days. Please explain.

4. Would your agency benefit if G-Invoicing were to support informational (only) performance types such as Shipped or Estimated Delivery? If so, how?

5. Does your agency feel the draft Program Guide is accurate or do you have specific questions on the guide?