Financial Management Federal Shared Service Provider Screening Criteria

INSTRUCTIONS: There are two sets of questions: one for previously designated Financial Management Line of Business (FMLoB) providers seeking to remain a provider and a second for federal agencies interested in becoming a Federal Shared Service Provider (FSSP) for financial management.

Choose the applicable section and answer the questions by checking "yes" or "no". Where requested, provide the applicable supporting reference materials or written explanations (100 words or less per question) in the form of an attachment (web links will not be reviewed).

A response of "no" to any of the screening criteria will automatically disqualify the Applicant from being selected as a FSSP.

Questions for previously designated FMLoB providers:

#	Information Requested	Response		
Service	rvice Offerings and Technology Requirements			
1	Provides all of the <u>mandatory</u> financial management service offerings listed in Supplemental Form A: Service Offerings (definitions for the terms can be found in Appendix B)? To be considered to be a FSSP the Applicant must support both systems	☐ Yes ☐ No		
2	and transaction processing for the mandatory financial management service offerings. Is on the most current version of a supported financial system, or has an approved	Yes		
	modernization plan that is currently being implemented?	□ No		
Capital	Requirement			
3	Has a revolving fund (e.g., franchise or working capital fund) in place that currently is used for providing the financial management service offerings? Provide the legal citation for the fund with your submission.	Yes No		
Compli	iance and Security Requirements			
4	Meets all current OMB and Department of Treasury requirements related to financial management listed below, or is scheduled to comply by the required deadline? Identify the status of those initiatives currently under development with your submission. More information on many of the initiatives can be found at http://www.fms.treas.gov/CFO_letter041113.pdf. • Provision of Treasury Accounting Symbol (TAS) / Business Event-Type Code (BETC) for all types of transaction, at time of transaction • Submission of Collections data in TAS/BETC format to Collections Information Repository (CIR) • Reporting to Central Accounting Reporting System (CARS) • Submission of payment data in standard format for Secure Payment System (SPS), Payment Automation Manager (PAM), International Treasury Services (ITS.gov), and the Automated Standard Application for Payments (ASAP) system • Implementation of the Do Not Pay standard business rules • Submission of bulk files to Government-wide Treasury Account Symbol Adjusted Trial Balance System (GTAS) • Submission of Intragovernmental Payment and Collections (IPAC) data in TAS/BETC format • Submission of payment data in TAS/BETC format to the Payment Information Repository (PIR) if a Non-Treasury Disbursing Office (NTDO)	☐ Yes☐ No		
	 Compliance with Federal Financial Management System Requirements (Treasury Financial Manual, Volume 1, Chapter 9500) Compliance with Intragovernmental Business Rules (Treasury Financial Manual, Volume 1, Chapter 4700) 			

#	Information Requested	Response
5	Provides a SSAE 16 Type II on all systems within the offering for its external	Yes
	customers or will provide one by September 30, 2014?	☐ No
6	Undergone a Federal Information Security Management Act (FISMA) review using	Yes
	NIST 800-53, Rev. 4, within the last 12 months without identification of significant	☐ No
	deficiencies, or if significant deficiencies were identified they have been resolved or a	
	plan is in place for them to be resolved? If applicable, describe the significant	
	deficiencies and their resolution/plan for resolution.	
7	Received a Security Assessment and Authorization (SA&A), widely known as Risk	Yes
	Management Framework (RMF) Step 4 (Assess) and Step 5 (Authorize) as outlined	☐ No
	within NIST SP 800-37, Rev. 1, on all systems within the offering within the last three	
	years?	
8	Has a Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP) and successful Disaster Recovery Testing	Yes
	has been performed on all systems within the offering?	No
9	Provides a formal Computer Security Incident Response Capability (CSIRC)? Provide	Yes
	the plan with the submission.	No
10	Performs periodic testing and evaluation of information security controls? Summarize	Yes
	the type of testing and how often with your submission.	No
11	Implemented a NIST SP 800-137 Continuous Monitoring Plan? Summarize the plan	Yes
	with your submission.	∐ No
12	Has an appointed information systems security officer (ISSO)? List their name, title	Yes
	and organization with your submission.	No
13	Has coordinated contingency planning with the agency or agencies using its services?	Yes
	Provide the supporting artifact(s) with the submission (e.g., procedure).	<u></u> No
14	Has an interconnection security agreement and a Memorandum of Understanding	Yes
	(MOU) in accordance with NIST SP800-47?	No
15	Does the data center proposed in the solution by the Applicant comply with all location	Yes
	and citizenship requirements of the agency?	☐ No

Questions for federal agencies interested in becoming a federal shared service provider for financial management:

#	Information Requested	Response
Service		
1	Provides all of the <u>mandatory</u> financial management service offerings listed in	Yes
	Supplemental Form A: Service Offerings (definitions for the terms can be found in	☐ No
	Appendix B)? To be considered to be a FSSP the Applicant must support both systems	
	and transaction processing for the mandatory financial management service offerings.	
2	Is on the most current version of a supported financial system, or has an approved	Yes
	modernization plan that is currently being implemented?	☐ No
Capital		
3	Has a revolving fund (e.g., franchise or working capital fund) in place that currently is,	Yes
	or can and will be, used for providing the financial management service offerings?	☐ No
	Provide the legal citation for the fund with your submission.	
Compl	liance and Security Requirements	
4	Meets all current OMB and Department of Treasury requirements related to financial	Yes
	management listed below, or is scheduled to comply by the required deadline? Identify	☐ No
	the status of those initiatives currently under development with your submission. More	
	information on many of the initiatives can be found at	
	http://www.fms.treas.gov/CFO_letter041113.pdf.	
i		
	 Provision of Treasury Accounting Symbol (TAS) / Business Event-Type Code 	
	(BETC) for all types of transaction, at time of transaction	

#	Information Requested	Response
	 Submission of Collections data in TAS/BETC format to Collections Information Repository (CIR) Reporting to Central Accounting Reporting System (CARS) Submission of payment data in standard format for Secure Payment System (SPS), Payment Automation Manager (PAM), International Treasury Services (ITS.gov), and the Automated Standard Application for Payments (ASAP) system Implementation of the Do Not Pay standard business rules Submission of bulk files to Government-wide Treasury Account Symbol Adjusted Trial Balance System (GTAS) Submission of Intragovernmental Payment and Collections (IPAC) data in TAS/BETC format Submission of payment data in TAS/BETC format to the Payment Information Repository (PIR) if a Non-Treasury Disbursing Office (NTDO) Compliance with Federal Financial Management System Requirements (Treasury Financial Manual, Volume 1, Chapter 9500) Compliance with Intragovernmental Business Rules (Treasury Financial Manual, Volume 1, Chapter 4700) 	
5	Commits to providing a SSAE 16 Type II to its external customers by September 30, 2014?	Yes No
6	Undergone a Federal Information Security Management Act (FISMA) review using NIST 800-53, Rev. 4, within the last 12 months without identification of significant deficiencies, or if significant deficiencies were identified they have been resolved or a plan is in place for them to be resolved? If applicable, describe the significant deficiencies and their resolution/plan for resolution.	Yes No
7	Received a Security Assessment and Authorization (SA&A), widely known as Risk Management Framework (RMF) Step 4 (Assess) and Step 5 (Authorize) as outlined within NIST SP 800-37, Rev. 1, on all systems within the offering within the last three years?	☐ Yes ☐ No
8	Has a Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP) and successful Disaster Recovery Testing has been performed on all systems within the offering?	Yes No
9	Provides a formal Computer Security Incident Response Capability (CSIRC)? Provide the plan with the submission.	Yes No
10	Performs periodic testing and evaluation of information security controls? Summarize the type of testing and how often with your submission.	Yes No
11	Implemented a NIST SP 800-137 Continuous Monitoring Plan? Summarize the plan with your submission.	Yes No
12	Has an appointed information systems security officer (ISSO)? List their name, title and organization with your submission.	Yes No
13	Commits to putting in place coordinated contingency planning with the agency or agencies using its services?	☐ Yes ☐ No
14	Commits to complete an interconnection security agreement and a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) in accordance with NIST SP800-47 by September 30, 2104?	Yes No
15	Does the data center proposed in the solution by the Applicant comply with all location and citizenship requirements of the agency?	Yes No

Supplemental Form A: Mandatory Service Offerings

INSTRUCTIONS: In the table below, select the checkbox(s) next to each service offering that you provide and, where applicable, indicate whether it is offered in the form of systems support, transaction processing or both. Note that to be designated a FSSP for financial management an applicant must provide both systems support and transaction processing for all of the service offerings listed. Definitions for each service offering are provided in Appendix B: Financial Management Products & Services Catalog.

Grouping	Service Offering	Support Provided
Financial	Budget Execution	System Transaction Processing
Management Services	General Ledger Accounting	System Transaction Processing
	Financial Reporting	System Transaction Processing
	Accounts Payable	System Transaction Processing
	Accounts Receivable	System Transaction Processing
	Intra-Governmental Accounting	System Transaction Processing
	Grants Accounting	System Transaction Processing
	Property Accounting	System Transaction Processing
	Travel Accounting	System Transaction Processing
	Cost Accounting	System Transaction Processing
	Charge Card Accounting	System Transaction Processing
	Audit Support	System Transaction Processing
Technology Hosting	IT Hosting	Yes No
and Administration	IT Administration Services	Yes No
	IT Security Services	Yes No
	Authorization and Accreditation	Yes No
	Information System Security	Yes No
	Customer Support Services	Yes No
	Network Services	Yes No
Application	Application Software Management	Yes No
Management Services	Application Performance Management	Yes No
, and the second	Continuity Planning	☐ Yes ☐ No
	Application Security Administration	☐ Yes ☐ No
	Application Software Development	☐ Yes ☐ No
	Application Data Management	Yes No
	Interfaces Supported	Yes No
System	Project Management Support	Yes No
Implementation	Requirements Analysis	Yes No
Services	Business Process Management	Yes No
	System Migration Management	Yes No
	System Conversions	Yes No
	Testing	Yes No
	Training Services	Yes No
	Change Management	Yes No

Supporting Information for Financial Management Federal Shared Service Provider Screening Criteria Administrative Resource Center

Question #3 - Legal Citation

31 U.S.C. 322, note

Question #4 – OMB and Treasury Requirements

All current initiatives are on schedule to be completed on or before the effective date of the requirement.

Question #10 - Information Security Controls Testing

Туре	Frequency
FISMA Review	Annual
SA&A	Annual
SSAE 16 (Type II) @ FSSP	Annual
SSAE 16 (Type II) @ Commercial Hosting Provider	Annual
A-123 Audit	Annual

Question #11 - Summary of Continuous Monitoring Plan

ARC has a continuous monitoring plan that meets the requirements of NIST 800-37. The plan identifies items to be reviewed on a quarterly basis, with the total controls being evaluated at least once in a three-year cycle. There exist volatile controls that we review on an annual basis. All reviews are documented at the time the review is completed.

Question #12 – Information System Security Officer (ISSO)

Helen Reilly, Director
Department of the Treasury
Bureau of the Fiscal Service
Fiscal Accounting Office
Business Technology Division